Poly(1,4-*trans*-cyclohexanediyldimethylene adipate): Polymorphism and the structure of form I, using X-ray diffraction

Bruno Rémillard and François Brisse

Département de Chimie, Université de Montréal, C.P. 6210, Succ. A, Montréal, Québec, H3C 3V1, Canada (Descined 5, July 1984)

(Received 5 July 1984)

Poly(1,4-*trans*-cyclohexanediyldimethylene adipate) or poly(*t*-CDA) crystallizes in two forms. Form I of poly(*t*-CDA) is analogous to the one reported for poly(*t*-CDS). Poly(*t*-CDA) has a monoclinic unit-cell of dimensions a = 6.938, b = 9.761, c = 15.97 Å and $\beta = 41.4^{\circ}$ and belongs to the $P2_1/n$ space group. The calculated crystalline density of 1.181 g cm⁻³ indicates that there is one chemical unit per fibre-repeat and two chains per unit-cell. The crystal structure of poly(*t*-CDA), form I was established using published structural data on related molecules for model building combined with a packing minimization procedure. The structure was confirmed by a comparison of calculated and observed structure amplitudes derived from an X-ray fibre diagram. The agreement index wR has the value of 0.153 for 31 observed diffraction data. The $-O-CH_2(C_6H_{10})CH_2-O-$ moiety of the polyester has the conformation $t_g^2(tg \pm t)_t^{\sigma-g}(g, gauche and t, trans)$, while the adipate group is in the *trans* conformation. Form II of poly(*t*-CDA) was identified through its distinct X-ray diffraction pattern, but its structure has not yet been established.

(Keywords: poly(1,4-trans-cyclohexanediyldimethylene adipate; polymorphism; X-ray diffraction)

INTRODUCTION

Structural studies of aliphatic polyesters have been undertaken for almost fifty years^{1,2}. The first crystalline structures were established in the ethylene glycol polyesters series, $\{O(CH_2)_2 O - CO(CH_2)_x CO\}_n$, where x = 0, 2, 4and $6^{3,4}$. When the ethylene glycol part is replaced by 1,4trans-cyclohexanedimethanol, some physical properties such as the melting point and the glass transition temperature are very similar for corresponding members in the two series of polyesters (x = 0 or 2). However, when x = 4, poly(ethylene adipate) and poly(t-CDA) have melting points of 47°C and 109°C-112°C respectively. Recently we reported the crystalline structure of the first member of this polyester family, poly(1,4-trans-cyclohexanediyldimethylene succinate) or poly(t-CDS), {O- $CH_2(C_6H_{10})CH_2-O-CO(CH_2)_xCO_n$ (x = 2), using electron and X-ray diffraction⁵. In the present paper, we describe the crystal structure determination of form I of poly(1,4-trans-cyclohexanediyldimethylene adipate) or poly(t-CDA) (x=4). Like $poly(ethylene adipate)^6$, this aliphatic polyester crystallizes in two forms.

EXPERIMENTAL

Sample preparation

Poly(t-CDA) powder was obtained from the Aldrich Chemical Company, Inc. The molecular weight of the polyester, calculated from tonometric measurement⁷ is equal to 4000 g. At this low molecular weight, the highly crystalline polyester could not be drawn. The polymer has therefore been treated with tolylene-2,4-diisocyanate to produce a higher molecular weight compound according to the method described by Iwakura, Taneda and

Ushida⁸. Following this treatment, the molecular weight was increased to 9600 g. A similar approach was also successfully used in the case of $poly(t-CDS)^5$. This treatment does not affect the structural determination since it is believed that only the pure ester sections of the chains crystallize, while the amide portions remain in the amorphous region of the polymer⁴. Fibres of poly(t-CDA)could then be obtained by cold drawing. Poly(t-CDA) crystallizes in two forms depending upon the annealing conditions. When the poly(t-CDA) fibres are annealed under tension for 6 h at 95°C, form I is obtained. If, however, a tension is applied for 30 min at 102°C, this results in form II. The polyester also crystallizes in form II when the fibres are annealed at 95°C for several days. Micro-single crystals of poly(t-CDA) could be grown by slow evaporation of a solution of 0.1% of commercial poly(t-CDA) in benzene.

X-ray diffraction

X-ray fibre diagrams of the two forms were recorded in Warhus, precession or Weissenberg cameras using the multiple film technique and nickel filtered CuK α radiation, (λ CuK $\ddot{\alpha}$ = 1.54178 Å). For form I, some diffracted intensities were visually estimated while others were measured with a Joyce-Loebl microdensitometer. The structure factors were then derived after correction for the relevant Lorentz and polarization factors. The X-ray scattering factors were obtained from Cromer and Waber⁹ for C and O atoms and from Stewart, Davidson and Simpson¹⁰ for H atoms.

Model building

No new model compound was synthesized for the

structural determination of poly(t-CDA). We used the bond distances and angles drawn from the model compounds of poly(1,4-trans-cyclohexanediyldimethyleneterephthalate) or <math>poly(t-CDT) and of $poly(t-CDS)^{11}$. When cyclohexane is 1,4-trans-disubstituted, it was observed that the conformation does not vary significantly from the calculated values for cyclohexane itself¹². The cyclohexylene part was constructed with the data from poly(t-CDS) model compound. For the adipate section, the construction data were obtained by averaging of corresponding values taken from crystal structures containing the adipate fragment¹³⁻²⁰. The torsion angles were adjusted so that the distance between the centres of two consecutive cyclohexylene groups would be equal to the *c*-dimension of the unit cell.

Structure determination of poly(t-CDA), form I

Unit-cell dimensions and space group. The X-ray fibre diagram of poly(t-CDS) form I is shown in Figure 1a. This pattern, very reminiscent of that of poly(t-CDS), differs significantly from that shown in Figure 1b which is referred to as form II. The 2θ values of 31 reflections, up to the seventh layer-line, have been measured. The indexing of the fibre diagram is schematically represented in Figure 1c. An acceptable unit-cell fitting these reflections was obtained starting with the published parameters of poly(t-CDS) whose c-dimension was increased by 2.54 Å. This is the distance between three consecutive methylenic groups²¹. The monoclinic unit-cell dimensions thus derived are reported in Table 1. In the list shown in Table 2 the observed and calculated $\sin^2\theta$ values are compared. By analogy with poly(t-CDS), the space group was chosen as $P2_1/n$. This choice was confirmed since the systematic absences are respected in the present indexing. The comparison of the calculated density with the experimental value, measured by flotation in a ZnCl₂ solution, indicates that there are two polymeric chains per unit-cell. Since in $P2_1/n$ the general position is of order 4, the two chains must occupy special positions in the unit-cell. The chains are parallel to c. One passes through the origin while the second one passes through the centre of the ab plane in such a way that the centres of the cyclohexylene groups and the midpoints of the CH₂-CH₂ central bonds in the adipate section must coincide with the centres of symmetry of the unit-cell.

Packing analysis. Atomic numbering, bond distances and valence angles derived from model compounds and published results chosen to build the poly(t-CDA) chain are given in Figure 2. The torsion angles values are reported in Table 3. The relative orientation of the chains in the unit-cell remains to be found. As the chains are held by the symmetry centres of the unit-cell, the rotation around the c-axis is the only packing parameter. The





Figure 1 (a) Typical X-ray fibre diagram of poly(t-CDA), form I; (b) typical X-ray fibre diagram of poly(t-CDA), form II; (c) indexing of the fibre pattern of poly(t-CDA), form I

Table 1 Crystal data for poly(t-CDA), form I

 $\begin{array}{ll} (C_{14}H_{22}O_{4})_n & MW = 254.33 \\ \text{Monoclinic unit-cell, space group P_{2_1}/n} \\ a = 6.938(0), b = 9.761(10), c = 15.97(5) \text{ Å (fibre axis)} \\ \beta = 41.4(5)^\circ, V = 715 \text{ Å}^3, Z = 2, d_0 = 1.15, d_c = 1.181 \text{ g cm}^{-3} \end{array}$

Table 2 Comparison of observed and calculated values of $\sin^2\theta$ (×10⁴) for poly(*t*-CDA), form I

| hkl | $\sin^2\theta_0$ | $\sin^2\theta_{\rm c}$ |
|-------------|------------------|------------------------|
| 020 | 251 | 249 |
| 110 | 344 | 345 |
| 120 | 535 | 533 |
| 130 | 845 | 845 |
| 040 | 1000 | 996 |
| 200 | 1117 | 1127 |
| 210 | 1224 | 1191 |
| 230 | 1683 | 1693 |
| 101 | 148 | 151 |
| 111 | 213 | 215 |
| 021 | 306 | 306 |
| 101 | 522 | 518 |
| 111 | 583 | 581 |
| 12 <u>1</u> | 774 | 769 |
| 131 | 1084 | 1081 |
| 211 | 1617 | 1611 |
| 221 | 1794 | 1799 |
| 112 | 201 | 191 |
| 022 | 465 | 462 |
| 103 | 220 | 209 |
| 113 | 270 | 273 |
| 123 | 474 | 462 |
| 013 | 548 | 541 |
| 114) | 492 | 1(2) |
| 204 | 483 | 462 509 |
| 215) | | 685 |
| 105 | 681 | 693 |
| 216 | 932 | 903 |
| 226 | 1057 | 1093 |
| 126 | 1321 | 1347 |
| 217 | 1247 | 1227 |
| 227 | 1413 | 1417 |
| 237 | 1753 | 1732 |
| | 1,00 | 1752 |

interchain interactions are minimized following William's procedure²². The packing index $R_p = \Sigma w (d_0 - d_{ij})^2$, measures the degree of interactions between adjacent chains, where d_0 is a reference distance, d_{ij} , the distance between atoms *i* and *j* of two adjacent chains and *w*, a weighting parameter. The values of d_0 and *w* used here are taken from William²². The packing index gives an indication of how weak these interactions are. The plot of R_p as a function of the chain orientation is reported in Figure 3. A minimum R_p value of 13 kcal mol⁻¹ is reached at 168° of the initial starting position. With this orientation, the shortest contact, at 3.39 Å, is observed between O(1) and C(3').

Confirmation of the structure

Since it has been found that the actual chain orientation usually deviates slightly from that obtained by packing analysis, small chain adjustments are needed. The chain passing through the origin and the second chain, passing through the centre of the ab-plane, were concomitantly rotated by $\pm 15^{\circ}$ from the best packing orientation, by 5° intervals. At each orientation, the observed and calculated structure factors were compared after refinement of the



Figure 2 Bond distances and angles chosen to build the polymer's repeat unit

Table 3 Torsion angles values in poly(t-CDA), form I

| Torsion angles | Values (degrees) | |
|-----------------------|---------------------|--|
| C(2')-C(3')-C(1)-C(2) | 56.6 | |
| C(3')-C(1)-C(2)-C(3) | 56.2 | |
| C(1)-C(2)-C(3)-C(1') | - 55.7 | |
| C(1)-C(2)-C(4)-O(2) | 64.7 | |
| C(3)-C(2)-C(4)-O(2) | -171.2 | |
| C(2)-C(4)-O(2)-C(5) | -173.5 | |
| C(4)-O(2)-C(5)-O(1) | 0.0 | |
| C(4)-O(2)-C(5)-O(6) | - 177.9 | |
| O(2)-C(5)-C(6)-C(7) | - 177.7 | |
| C(5)-C(6)-C(7)-C(7') | -175.0 | |
| C(6)-C(7)-C(7')-C(6') | 180 | |

scale factor and an overall isotropic temperature factor, B. The values taken by the quantities $R = \Sigma |\Delta F| / \Sigma F_0$ and $wR = [\Sigma w \Delta F^2 / \Sigma w F_0^2]^{1/2}$, which measure the agreement between observed and calculated structure factors, are plotted as a function of the chain orientation on Figure 3. The minimum values of R and wR reached are 0.195 and 0.153 respectively for 31 diffraction spots with B = 11 Å² and a chain orientation of 172° only 4° away from the above orientation. Thus the crystal structure of poly(t-CDA) form I is satisfactorily confirmed. When all obser-

Polymorphism and structure of poly(t-CDA): B. Rémillard and F. Brisse

ved and unobserved X-ray reflections are considered, the R-factor minimum value is 0.232 at the same orientation. The atomic coordinates corresponding to this orientation are reported in *Table 4* and the list of observed and calculated structure factors corresponding to the above minimum are given in *Table 5*.

Table 4 Fractional atomic coordinates ($\times\,10^4$ for C and O atoms and $\times\,10^3$ for H atoms) for poly(t-CDA), form I

| Atom | x | у | Z |
|-------|--------|-------|------|
| O(1) | 4 | -2081 | 3211 |
| O(2) | - 773 | -312 | 2608 |
| C(1) | 1706 | 455 | 161 |
| C(2) | -973 | -411 | 1165 |
| C(3) | - 1496 | -1319 | 566 |
| C(4) | -812 | -1249 | 1912 |
| C(5) | -339 | - 876 | 3218 |
| C(6) | - 439 | 147 | 3940 |
| C(7) | 181 | - 508 | 4585 |
| H(11) | 348 | -14 | 44 |
| H(12) | 203 | 106 | 55 |
| H(21) | -275 | 18 | 175 |
| H(31) | -332 | -186 | 124 |
| H(32) | 11 | -200 | -1 |
| H(41) | - 254 | -187 | 251 |
| H(42) | 99 | -182 | 132 |
| H(61) | 99 | 90 | 336 |
| H(62) | -238 | 61 | 458 |
| H(71) | -113 | - 131 | 510 |
| H(72) | 220 | -90 | 393 |



Figure 3 Variation of R_p and the conventional *R*- and w*R*-factors as the chains are rotated away from the minimum energy orientation: (\blacklozenge) *R*; (\blacktriangle) *wR*; (\blacksquare) *R*_p

Table 5 List of observed and calculated structure factors ($\times 10$) for poly(t-CDA), form I

| hkl | | F ₀ | <i>F</i> _c | hkl | w | F ₀ | <i>F</i> _c | |
|-----|------|----------------|-----------------------|-------|------|----------------|-----------------------|--|
| 020 | 1.00 | 153 | 162 | 103 | 1.00 | 95 | 74 | |
| 110 | 1.00 | 259 | 246 | 113 | 0.40 | 21 | 23 | |
| 120 | 0.10 | 104 | 76 | 123 | 0.50 | 29 | 34 | |
| 130 | 0.30 | 26 | 19 | 013 | 0.50 | 31 | 37 | |
| 040 | 0.20 | 27 | 25 | | | | | |
| 200 | 0.65 | 77 | 82 | 114) | 0.50 | 43 | 20 | |
| 210 | 0.50 | 39 | 39 | 204 ∫ | | | | |
| 140 | | | | | | | | |
| 220 | | (13) | 14 | 215 | 0.60 | 51 | 36 | |
| 230 | 0.60 | 51 | 42 | 105 | | | | |
| 011 | | (19) | 14 | 206) | 0.65 | 71 | 52 | |
| 101 | 0.70 | 50 | 54 | 216 | | | | |
| 111 | 0.90 | 52 | 67 | 226) | 0.75 | 78 | 84 | |
| 021 | 1.00 | 130 | 139 | 116 } | | | | |
| 121 | | (19) | 15 | 316) | | | | |
| 101 | 0.75 | `9 0́ | 98 | 126) | 0.75 | 69 | 42 | |
| 111 | 0.90 | 90 | 114 | 326 } | | | | |
| 031 | | (19) | 22 | 236) | | | | |
| 131 | | (19) | 4 | | | _ | | |
| 121 | 0.65 | 48 | 41 | 217 | 0.65 | 57 | 50 | |
| 211 | | (19) | 8 | 317) | | | | |
| 221 | | (19) | 8 | 227 | 0.75 | 70 | 71 | |
| 041 | | (19) | 10 | 327 J | | | | |
| 131 | 0.75 | 69 | 62 | 107 | | (29) | 19 | |
| 141 | | (19) | 19 | 117) | 0.65 | 57 | 54 | |
| 231 | | (19) | 3 | 237 1 | | | | |
| 14Ī | | (19) | 22 | | | | | |
| 211 | 0.65 | 56 | 65 | | | | | |
| 051 | | (19) | 10 | | | | | |
| 151 | | (19) | 10 | | | | | |
| 221 | 0.40 | 37 | 33 | | | | | |
| 112 | 0.25 | 15 | 15 | | | | | |
| 002 | | (8) | 10 | | | | | |
| 012 | | (8) | 7 | | | | | |
| 122 | | (8) | 8 | | | | | |
| 022 | 0.50 | 30 | 54 | | | | | |



 $t_a^t(tg^{\pm}t)_t^{g^-}t$ (g, gauche and t, trans) depending which side of

Polymorphism and structure of poly(t-CDA): B. Rémillard and F. Brisse

the cyclohexane ring is followed. The adipate group is in trans conformation. Not surprisingly there is a great similitude between the molecular packing of poly(t-CDA), form I and poly(t-CDS).

Poly(t-CDA) crystallizes in two forms like poly(ethylene adipate)⁶. We have obtained the fibre diagrams of the second form (Figure 1b), but in spite of our repeated efforts the diffraction spots could not be well resolved. The layer-lines are not clearly defined so that the fibre repeat could not be established with any degree of certainty. Micro-single crystals of poly(t-CDA) have been grown successfully from a dilute benzene solution. Although, poly(t-CDS) and poly(t-CDA), form I are in a sense 'isomorphous', the morphologies of the micro-single crystals are very different. Poly(t-CDS) micro-single crystals have a diamond-shape, while those of poly(t-CDA)are rectangular. Hence these micro-single crystals might represent form II of poly(t-CDA). Electron diffraction must be used to complement the investigation of the polymorphism of poly(*t*-CDA).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are very grateful to the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada for its financial support (grant A-5968).

REFERENCES

- Fuller, C. S. and Erickson, C. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1937, 59, 344
- 2 Fuller, C. S., Frosch, C. J. and Pape, N. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1942, 64. 154
- 3 Ueda, A. S., Chatani, Y. and Tadokoro, H. Polym. J. 1971, 2, 387
 - Turner-Jones, A. and Bunn, C. W. Acta Crystallogr. 1962, 15, 105 4
 - Rémillard, B., Brisse, F. and Chanzy, H. Macromolecules 1984, 5 17 1980
 - 6 Teitel'Baum, B. Ya., Palvkhov, N. A., Maklakov, L. I., Anoshina, N. P., Murtazina, I. O. and Kovalenko, V. I. Polym. Sci. USSR 1967, 9, 1880
 - Collins, E. A., Bares, J. and Billmeyer, F. W. 'Experiments in 7 Polymer Science', Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1973, pp. 131-135
 - 8 Iwakura, Y., Taneda, Y. and Ushida, J. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 1961, 5, 108
- 9 Cromer, D. T. and Waber, J. T. Acta Crystallogr. 1965, 18, 104 10 Stewart, R. I., Davidson, E. R. and Simpson, W. T. J. Chem. Phys.
- 1965, 42, 3175 11
- Brisse, F. and Remillard, B. Acta Crystallogr. 1982, B38, 825
- Bucourt, R. and Hainaut, D. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1965, 5, 1366 12
- 13 Pajunen, A. and Nasakkala, E. Finn. Chem. Lett. 1977, 104
- 14 Housty, J. and Hospital, M. Acta Crystallogr. 1965, 18, 693
- 15 Hirokawa, S. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1950, 23, 91
- 16 Brown, C. J. Acta Crystallogr. 1966, 21, 185
- 17 Hirokawa, S., Ohashi, T. and Nitta, I. Acta Crystallogr. 1954, 7, 87
- 18 Hirokawa, S. and Ashida, T. Mem. Def. Acad. Yokosuka, Jpn. 1962, 2, 95
- 19 Hamalainen, R., Turpeinen, U. and Ahlgren, M. Acta Crystallogr. 1979, B35, 2408
- 20 Vanier, M. and Brisse, F. Acta Crystallogr. 1983, C39, 914
- Bunn, C. W. Trans. Faraday Soc. 1939, 35, 482 21
- 22 William, D. E. Acta Crystallogr. 1969, A25, 464

DISCUSSION

in their unit-cell

The chains of poly(t-CDA) in their unit-cell are shown in projections on the ac and bc planes and by a stereoscopic pair in Figures 4a and 4b respectively. The observed

Figure 4 (a) Projections of the chains along the \underline{a} and \underline{b} -axis.

(b) Stereopair showing the packing of the chains of poly(t-CDA), form I